Consequences of Combining Strength and Endurance Training Regimens

A common belief among many clinicians and trainers is that intensive simultaneous training for muscle strength and cardiovascular endurance is counterproductive. To test this premise, 14 healthy, untrained men trained four days per week for 20 weeks on a bicycle ergometer for endurance (END Group, n = 4), on an isokinetic device for increased torque production (ITP Group, n = 5), or on both devices (COMBO Group, n = 5). The ITP and COMBO groups had equal torque gains throughout the study (234 ± 45 and 232 ± 23 N·m, respectively). After 11 weeks, both END and COMBO groups had similar gains in maximal oxygen consumption (Vo2max) (in milliliters per kilogram of body weight per minute). During the last half of the study, however, the END Group had a significant gain in Vo2max (p < .05) of 4.7 ± 1.2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹, whereas the COMBO Group had a nonsignificant gain (p > .05) of 1.8 ± 0.6 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹. In harmony with this finding, the END Group showed a significant increase (p < .05) in citrate synthase activity (15.5 ± 7.9 μmol·g⁻¹·min⁻¹), whereas the COMBO Group had no significant increase. The authors concluded that simultaneous training may inhibit the normal adaptation to either training program when performed alone. The extent of the interference probably depends on the nature and intensity of the individual training program. [Nelson AG, Arnall DA, Loy SF, et al: Consequences of combining strength and endurance training regimens. Phys Ther 70:287–294, 1990]
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The adaptation of skeletal muscle to exercise training is well documented.1-3 The nature of the adaptation appears to be specific to the training modality. Endurance training induces increases in mitochondrial content, citric acid enzymes, and oxidative capacity.1,2,4 There can also be increases in slow-twitch fiber area4 and possibly even a conversion of fibers from fast-twitch to slow-twitch.5,6 Strength training, however, will reduce the mitochondrial density7 and induce relatively small increases in oxidative enzymes.1,8 Increases in myokinase (adenylate kinase) activity6,9 and fast-twitch fiber area1,3,8 have also been seen, but interconversion of fiber types has not been noted.5 These highly specific training effects have led to the widely accepted physiological principle known as the specificity of training. This theory is based on the idea that the muscles that are exercised will experience adaptive changes, whereas
nonexercised muscles will experience little or no training effect. This training effect has been observed in muscles undergoing either a training program (ie, weight-lifting program) or an endurance exercise program (ie, jogging program).

Frequently, the concept of total fitness translates into a fitness program, which places heavy emphasis on both physical strength and cardiovascular endurance. However, many clinicians, trainers, and athletes believe that simultaneous training to increase torque production and cardiovascular endurance are counterproductive. This belief has no clear theoretical basis, but is supported by anecdotal evidence such as lost power with the initiation of endurance training or the inability to complete normal endurance training following weight lifting. If this idea is true, then the practice of maintaining cardiovascular endurance during a strength training program may be contraindicated. Unfortunately, very little research on this issue has been published. Hickson reported that endurance training inhibited force gains during 10 weeks of simultaneous training. Similarly, Dudley and Djarnil found that concurrent training reduced torque gains at fast contraction velocities. Riedy and co-workers, however, reported no difference in the adaptive response to endurance training in rat muscle previously hypertrophied by surgical removal of the synergists. Even more germane to the present question was the finding of Gettman et al and Asfour et al that simultaneous training for force and endurance had no effect on the adaptive response to either mode.

In light of the limited amount of research available and the lack of agreement among published research, we decided to examine the matter further. Specifically, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the effect of a simultaneous training program for increased torque production and cardiovascular endurance on maximal oxygen consumption (VO₂max), quadriceps femoris muscle torque production, skeletal muscle fiber-type distribution and fiber area, and the activity of myokinase (adenylate kinase) and the mitochondrial marker enzyme citrate synthase. We hypothesized that the findings of this research would be due to the predominance at the cellular level of the endurance training adaptations (eg, increased oxidative capacity and fiber number, decreased fiber area) at the expense of normal torque training adaptations (eg, increased fiber area and reduction of oxidative capacity).

### Method

#### Subject Selection and Experimental Design

The research methods and design met the guidelines established by an institutional review board governing the use of human subjects in research. All subjects were recruited using standard advertising methods. Eighteen healthy, active men who had not been engaged in any regular training program for at least one year volunteered to participate. Four subjects, however, did not complete the study. Descriptive data of the remaining 14 subjects are presented in Table 1. All subjects received complete information on the requirements and potential risks of the study, and written consent was obtained before any tests or training sessions were performed. The subjects were randomly divided into three groups: an increased torque production group (ITP Group, n = 5), an endurance group (END Group, n = 4), and a combination increased torque production-endurance group (COMBO Group, n = 5).

The ITP Group trained four days per week (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday) on a Cybex® II isokinetic dynamometer* with work outputs recorded from a Digital Work Integrator.* Training consisted of three sets of six maximal-effort repetitions of knee extension and flexion at 30°/sec. Ninety seconds of rest were allowed between sets, and the right leg was always trained before the left leg.

*Cybex, Div of Lumex Inc, 2100 Smithtown Ave, Ronkonkoma, NY 11779.

### Table 1. Subject Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group*</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Body Weight (kg)</th>
<th>Body Fat (%)</th>
<th>Maximum Heart Rate (bpm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X SEM</td>
<td>Pretest X SEM</td>
<td>Posttest X SEM</td>
<td>Pretest X SEM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP (n = 5)</td>
<td>27.0 1.1</td>
<td>69.5 3.8 70.4 4.1</td>
<td>7.9 1.1 8.1 1.5</td>
<td>192.0 6.2 190.0 2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO (n = 5)</td>
<td>26.0 1.3</td>
<td>69.4 4.1 83.9 3.3</td>
<td>17.3 3.0 15.7 4.0</td>
<td>191.0 3.7 194.0 6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END (n = 4)</td>
<td>30.0 2.4</td>
<td>82.2 9.3 79.5 9.8</td>
<td>19.5 5.0 16.5 5.2</td>
<td>186.0 2.9 188.0 0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.

Method of determining percentage of body fat was by hydrostatic weighing.
The END Group exercised on a Monarch ergometer four days per week (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday) at a constant pedaling rate of 83 rpm. During the first week, the subjects trained for 30 minutes at 75% of the maximum heart rate (MHR) achieved during the VO2 max test. During week 2, the intensity was increased to 80% of MHR. For weeks 3, 4, and 5, the training duration was increased to 40, 50, and 60 minutes, respectively. At week 7, the intensity was increased to 85% of MHR. For the remainder of the study, the subjects pedaled at 85% of MHR for 60 minutes per session.

The COMBO Group completed both the torque and endurance training regimens four days per week (Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, and Friday). Training for increased torque always preceded cycling, usually by 10 minutes. Daily bicycle work output averaged 250 and 232 kJ for the END and COMBO groups, respectively, at the end of the study.

The training program for each group lasted 20 weeks. Training sessions for each individual were monitored by a member of the research team.

**Testing**

Prior to, during, and after the training program, the subjects performed tests for torque production and cardiovascular power and submitted to biopsies of the vastus lateralis muscle. The tests were conducted over a period of three consecutive days. Day 1 was a period of rest with complete inactivity encouraged. On day 2, the torque production test was performed, followed by the cardiovascular test. Muscle biopsies were obtained on day 3. All tests were repeated at 11- and 20-week periods at approximately the same time of day as the pretests.

Torque measurements used for analysis were the peak right knee extension torque 30°, 60°, and 180°/sec using the Cybex II isokinetic dynamometer in accordance with recommended procedures. Previous research has suggested that these measurements are reliable. Calibration of the isokinetic device was performed prior to the torque measurements following the manufacturer's guidelines. A practice trial was performed to familiarize each subject with the apparatus and was followed by three measured trials, with a 90-second recovery period between each trial to prevent fatigue. The subjects were instructed to exert approximately 75% maximal effort on the practice trial and to exert maximal extension and flexion force through the entire range of motion for the three measured trials. The best score from the three trials was used to represent the subject's torque.

Maximal oxygen consumption was assessed using a modified Bruce protocol on a Quinton Model 24-72 motor-driven treadmill. We chose to use the treadmill for the VO2 max testing for the following reasons. First, Hickson and co-workers have reported an increase in VO2 max on a bicycle ergometer and no change in VO2 max measured on a treadmill following 10 weeks of strength training. Most of the subjects in our study (n = 10) had performed treadmill VO2 max tests but not bicycle ergometer tests prior to volunteering for the study and were therefore accustomed to the protocol used. Finally, reliability calculations using a test-retest protocol showed the treadmill test to be more reliable than the bicycle ergometer test (treadmill r = .97 vs bicycle ergometer r = .78). The subjects in our study began the test walking at 45.6 m/min at a 10% grade with subsequent increases in speed and grade made every two minutes until exhaustion occurred. Expired air was continuously monitored for percentage of oxygen by a Beckman AM-11 oxygen analyzer and for percentage of carbon dioxide by a Beckman LB-2 carbon dioxide analyzer. Inspired air volumes were measured by a Technology Model MTG pneumotachograph. All relevant information was constantly fed into an IBM Personal Computer and oxygen consumption (VO2) values were computed at five-breath intervals. Heart rate was also continuously monitored on a Quinton Model 740 electrocardiographic data computer from a modified CM-5 electrode placement. Rhythm strips were recorded as needed on a Parke-Davis Model 2400 electrocardiographic unit. Our criterion for VO2 max was an increase in VO2 of ≥ 2 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ or a decrease in VO2 with succeeding increases in work load.

**Tissue Preparation and Analysis**

Muscle biopsies were taken from the right vastus lateralis muscle following the technique described by Bergstrom as modified by adding suction in the manner developed by Evans et al. Two muscle samples were taken from the same incision at each testing period, and all samples from all time periods were taken within 1 cm of each other. The first sample was embedded in Tissue-Tek OCT compound and frozen in 2 methylbutane cooled by liquid nitrogen and designated for histochecmical analysis. The second sample was quickly frozen in liquid nitrogen and used for enzymatic analysis. All muscle samples were wrapped in aluminum foil and stored at –80°C until
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Table 2. Torque Measurements (in Newton-meters per Kilogram of Body Weight) at Three Speeds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>30°/sec Pretest</th>
<th></th>
<th>30°/sec Posttest</th>
<th></th>
<th>60°/sec Pretest</th>
<th></th>
<th>60°/sec Posttest</th>
<th></th>
<th>180°/sec Pretest</th>
<th></th>
<th>180°/sec Posttest</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>( \bar{x} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>4.72</td>
<td>0.41&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.99</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>4.01</td>
<td>0.29&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>0.20&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>3.92</td>
<td>0.19&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END</td>
<td>2.57</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.32&lt;sup&gt;g&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.10&lt;sup&gt;h&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>1.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>ITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.

<sup>b</sup>Indicates significant difference from the pretest values (\( p < .05 \)).

<sup>c</sup>Indicates significant difference from other groups at that time period (\( p < .05 \)).

Each subject's pretest, 11-week, and 20-week samples could be analyzed.

For the histochemical analysis, 10-\( \mu \)m sections were cut in an ultra-low-temperature microtome, adhered to microscope slide cover slips, and placed in columbia jars for staining. The tissue samples were preincubated at pH 4.3, 4.65, and 10.3 and stained following the myofibrillar ATPase method. The mean muscle fiber area for each fiber type was determined using the pH 4.65 slide by averaging the cross-sectional areas of 25 fibers, which had been traced from a microscopic projection and then measured by planimetry.

Activity levels of the enzymes citrate synthase and myokinase (adenylate kinase) were also measured in each muscle sample. Muscle citrate synthase activity was assayed on 5% homogenates following the procedure outlined by Sere. The technique outlined by Bergmeyer was used on 1% muscle homogenates to determine myokinase activity.

**Statistical Procedures**

Because of the loss of four subjects during the study, the composition of the groups appeared to be different. Therefore, an analysis of covariance, with the pretest score serving as the covariant, was performed. The scores of all parameters were analyzed using a three-way (treatment \( \times \) subjects \( \times \) time) split-plot, or mixed, design. For each main effect with a significant \( F \) ratio, statistically significant differences between the means were established using Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference method. For all tests, the level of significance was set at .05.

**Results**

**Torque**

The changes in torque for each group are presented in Table 2. After 20 weeks, the ITP and COMBO groups had significant and comparable gains in torque. At 30°/sec, the gains of the ITP Group ranged from 37% to 65% and COMBO Group gains ranged from 27% to 83%. The END Group had a nonsignificant torque gain of 10%. Torque gains at 60°/sec paralleled the gains at 30°/sec. At 180°/sec, however, the results were different. At this speed, all groups had a significant gain in torque with no difference in posttest scores between groups. Because we experienced mechanical problems with the dynamometer, which prevented accurate testing but did not hinder training, the 11-week torque test values were eliminated from the data analysis. Therefore, to obtain a representation of intermediate torque gains for each subject, both the daily work output and its squared value were multiply regressed against workout days. The average multiple regression curves for the ITP and COMBO groups are shown in the Figure. These multiple regression curves indicate a continued and progressive torque gain for both ITP and COMBO groups. The average linear (ITP Group = 0.91, COMBO Group = 0.10) and quadratic (ITP Group = -5.9 \( \times \) 10\(^{-4}\), COMBO Group = -5.7 \( \times \) 10\(^{-4}\)) coefficients of these curves were compared, and no significant differences were found between the two curves.

**Maximal Oxygen Consumption**

The normalized \( \dot{V}O_2_{max} \) values are presented in Table 3. The final values for the COMBO and END groups were significantly greater than the corresponding initial values. After the first 11 weeks, the COMBO and END group gains were similar. Over the last half of the study, the END Group had a further significant increase in \( \dot{V}O_2_{max} \), whereas the COMBO Group showed no change. The \( \dot{V}O_2_{max} \) of the ITP Group remained statistically unchanged during the study.

**Enzyme Activity**

Initially, there was no difference between groups in citrate synthase activity (in micromoles per gram per minute). The 11-week tests showed citrate synthase activity increased significantly in the END Group (97%), but remained statistically unchanged.

---
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Discussion

A limitation to these results revolves around the fact that four subjects withdrew from the study after the groups were initially randomized and training was initiated. These withdrawals reduced the size of each group and caused the ITP Group to be different with respect to initial body weight, body composition, and relative Vo2 max (Tabs. 1, 3). This outcome was unfortunate, but, because most of the important information in the results comes from contrasting the COMBO and END groups, we felt the dissimilarity between groups at the outset of the study was relatively inconsequential.

The findings of this study, along with those of Hickson10 and Dudley and Djamil,11 support the premise that simultaneous training programs for torque and endurance are counterproductive. These studies, however, disagree as to the nature of this incompatibility. Both of the previous studies10,11 found that endurance training interfered with torque development, but that torque training had no effect on aerobic adaptation. The present study, however, showed no difference in torque gains with simultaneous training but an inhibition in aerobic development, which occurred during the 11th through the 20th weeks of training. Numerous possible explanations exist for the apparent discrepancies between the previous studies and the present study.

First, upon closer evaluation, some of the differences are not very dramatic. For instance, in the Dudley and Djamil11 study, torque gains, as determined by isokinetic testing, were inhibited at only the faster speeds of movement but not at the slower movement speeds. Because we measured torque only at the relatively slow speeds and likewise found no inhibition in gain, our results are in agreement with those published by Dudley and Djamil.11 Had we measured torque at faster speeds, we may have found a decrement in performance as well.

in the COMBO and ITP groups (Tab. 4). At 20 weeks, a slight but nonsignificant decline in activity had occurred in all groups compared with the 11-week values.

There was also no initial difference among groups in myokinase activity (Tab. 4). During the course of the study, the ITP and END groups both showed apparent increases and the COMBO Group showed an apparent decrease in enzyme activity, but none of these changes were significant and the groups remained statistically similar.

Muscle Fiber Morphology

The results of the histological analysis are presented in Tables 5 and 6. Because a single biopsy sample may not reflect the fiber type distribution of the whole muscle,27 caution should be exercised in the interpretation of the fiber type data. With respect to fiber type distribution (Tab. 5), the COMBO Group's training appeared to result in a decrease in the percentage of type IIa fibers ($p < .05$) with a concomitant increase in type I fibers ($p < .05$). There was no effect of training on fiber type distribution in the other two groups.

As shown in Table 6, no measurable hypertrophy occurred in any of the groups. However, at the end of the study, all three groups had significant, but equal, increases in type IIA and type IIB fiber area (Tab. 6). Both COMBO and END groups also had significant and comparable increases in type I fiber area, but ITP Group values remained unchanged.

Figure. Average multiple regression curves for increased torque production (ITP) and combination ITP-endurance (COMBO) groups showing total work output per individual per workout period. Error bars represent the actual standard error of the mean for each group.
Table 3. Maximal Oxygen Consumption (in Milliliters per Kilogram of Body Weight per Minute)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groupa</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>55.6</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>47.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>54.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.
- Indicates significant difference from COMBO Group within the time period (p < .05).
- Indicates significant difference from END Group within the time period (p < .05).
- Indicates significant difference from pretest values (p < .05).
- Indicates significant difference from COMBO Group within the time period (p < .05).
- Indicates significant difference from week 11 values (p < .05).

Table 4. Enzyme Activity (in Micromoles per Gram per Minute)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groupa</th>
<th>Week</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
<th>X</th>
<th>SEM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Citrate synthase</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>20.7</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>32.9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- ITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.
- Indicates significant difference from pretest values (p < .05).
- Indicates significant difference from other groups at that time period (p < .05).

The diluted aerobic adaptation may be a function of the type of aerobic training program as well as the length of the program. Our study incorporated an endurance program of continuous cycling at 75% to 85% of MHR, whereas the previous two studies used an interval program requiring near-maximal effort during each work interval. Similar types of programs (intermittent vs continuous) have been shown by Henriksson and Reitman to recruit different muscle fiber types and therefore to elicit different aerobic adaptations. We speculate that high-intensity internal training for aerobic endurance combined with weight training for strength, as used by Hickson and Dudley and Djamil, recruit the type II fibers and perhaps create a combined stress that cannot be adjusted to adequately over time; thus, one adaptation (endurance) predominates over the other (increased torque production). The mechanism for this recruitment is not clear. In this study, the stimulus from combined training seemed to be distributed uniformly over the different fibers and resulted in no interference of torque production adaptations. This finding is supported by our observation that type I and type II fibers hypertrophied in both COMBO and END groups, but only type II fibers hypertrophied in the ITP Group. This uniform effect across fibers, however, seemed to interfere with normal aerobic adaptation, as demonstrated by the lack of increase in citrate synthase activity and a reduced rise in VO_{2\text{max}} in the COMBO Group. Why this interference occurred is not entirely clear. MacDougall and associates, however, found that strength training tended to dilute mitochondrial volume in type II fibers because of hypertrophy. Such a dilution could lead to a reduction in relative aerobic capacity. Although both COMBO and END groups in our study produced hypertrophy in type II fibers, it would appear that the greater, but nonsigni-
Table 5. Fiber Type Distribution (in Percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type Ia</th>
<th>Type Ib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wk 0</td>
<td>Wk 11</td>
<td>Wk 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.
*bIndicates significant difference from pretest values (p < .05).

Table 6. Muscle Fiber Area (in Square Micrometers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Type I</th>
<th>Type Ia</th>
<th>Type Ib</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Wk 0</td>
<td>Wk 11</td>
<td>Wk 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>SEM</td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITP</td>
<td>4,813</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>4,894</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMBO</td>
<td>4,638</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>5,149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>END</td>
<td>4,698</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>4,732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aITP = increased torque production; COMBO = combination ITP-endurance; END = endurance.
*bIndicates significant difference from pretest values (p < .05).
*cIndicates significant difference from ITP Group values (p < .05).
*dIndicates significant difference from 11-week values (p < .05).

Clinical Applications

When optimal torque gains and maintenance of cardiovascular endurance are desired, exercise training programs should be similar to that used in this study, that is, a program to increase torque production coupled with an endurance program of moderate intensity and long duration. For those individuals who want to increase cardiovascular endurance rather than rapidly increase torque production, however, the exercise training program should be similar to that used by Hickson and colleagues and Dudley and Djamil, that is, a torque program coupled with an endurance program consisting of high-intensity intervals. These recommendations should only be followed when the torque and endurance programs involve the same muscle groups. Obviously, further work is necessary to determine the best simultaneous training program that results in optimal adaptation to both stimuli.

These recommendations are based on the results of studies performed on healthy, active individuals and are ideally suited to the clientele commonly found in a fitness-wellness setting or in an athletic program. Clinicians should be careful about applying these recommendations to patient populations. It is not known at this time whether the counterproductivity between these training methods would manifest itself in a population with severely compromised torque production and endurance.

Conclusions

The results of this study indicate that simultaneous training for torque production and endurance may inhibit the normal adaptation to either treatment when performed alone. Based on our results, the normal adaptations to endurance training appear to be inhibited by simultaneous torque training following the initial 11 weeks of a simultaneous training program. This interference is evidenced by a lack of increase in VO2 max and oxidative enzymes. We hypothesize that this interference probably depends on the nature and intensity of the individual training program. We believe the controlling factor may lie more with the...
endurance training stimulus (moderate intensity-long duration vs high intensity-interval exercise). Therefore, the results indicate that care should be maintained when prescribing exercise training programs.
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